

Journal of the Geographical Society of Berlin

Rodrigo Machado Vilani¹, Lucas Ferrante², Philip M. Fearnside³

¹Universidade Federal do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, 22290-240, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil, rodrigo.vilani@unirio.br

Manuscript submitted: 20 April 2022 / Accepted for publication: 04 November 2022 / Published online: 30 November 2022

Abstract

Brazilian President Jair Bolsonaro has induced a cycle of deforestation and violence in the Amazon, where dismantling environmental agencies and gutting protection policies have become a central strategy in removing barriers to predatory exploitation of natural resources. Mining is a key part of this agenda. Brazil and the international community must struggle to reverse the ongoing destruction of the Amazon.

Keywords

Amazon, Brazil, minerals, environmental impact assessment, environmental policy, indigenous peoples

Mining is an activity that is notorious for its environmental and social impacts, and that has proved especially damaging in Amazonia (Sonter et al. 2017; Fearnside 2019a). The effect on indigenous peoples has been particularly devastating (Branford 2020; Villén-Pérez et al. 2022). Removing barriers to large-scale mining in Amazonia, including extraction from indigenous lands (e.g., Bills 191/2000, 2633/2020, 3729/2004 and 490/2007), has been a key part of the agenda of the 2019-2022 Jair Bolsonaro presidential administration.

In October 2018, *Jair Bolsonaro* was elected and presented a government plan that was structurally harmful to the environmental legislation in Brazil (*Facchin*

2018). After taking office in January 2019, *Bolsonaro* implemented a series of measures to scrap the environmental legislation and the rights of indigenous peoples (*Ferrante* and *Fearnside* 2019). These actions increased deforestation throughout Brazil and led to an explosion of fires in the Amazon and Pantanal, the smoke from which even darkened the skies of the city São Paulo (*ClimaInfo* 2020). *Bolsonaro* tried to hide the episode and used the Brazilian army as a smokescreen to cover up the increase in deforestation and fires in the Amazon (*Ferrante* and *Fearnside* 2020; *Deutsch* 2021). President *Bolsonaro* has promoted the dismantling of environmental agencies and policies by: (i) militarization of the Brazilian Institute for the Environment and Renewable Natural Resources (IBAMA),

Rodrigo Machado Vilani, Lucas Ferrante, Philip M. Fearnside 2022: Amazonia threatened by Brazilian President Bolsonaro's mining agenda. – DIE ERDE 153 (4): 254-258



BY SA DOI:10.12854/erde-2022-622

^{2,3}National Institute for Research in Amazonia (INPA), 69067-375 Manaus, AM, Brazil, lucasferrante@hotmail.com, pmfearn@inpa.gov.br

(ii) drastic budget cuts for environmental protection, (iii) reduction of operations against illegal deforestation, (iv) impeding investigations of environmental infractions, and (v) threats to indigenous lands and protected areas for biodiversity (*Ferrante* and *Fearnside* 2019; *Barbosa* et al. 2021; *Santos* et al. 2021).

President *Bolsonaro's* administration has been marked by a sharp increase in Amazon deforestation (*Brazil, INPE* 2022) and in attacks on indigenous peoples by loggers, miners and "ruralists" (large landholders and their representatives), especially during the pandemic (*Ferrante* and *Fearnside* 2020). The dismantling of the National Indian Foundation (FUNAI) was a step in this process, since, together with IBAMA, the agency acted to inhibit environmental infractions in Amazonian indigenous lands (*Rorato* et al. 2021). President *Bolsonaro* also denied the validity of the deforestation estimates produced by the National Institute for Space Research (INPE), had its director dismissed, and inhibited its work in various ways (e.g., *Fearnside* 2019b).

Another threat to the sustainability of the Amazon is the land tenure system because it results in land conflicts, unequal ownership patterns, real estate speculation and illegal appropriation of government land (land grabbing or grilagem). Particularly vulnerable to land grabbing is a vast area of undesignated public lands, popularly known as terras devolutas or "vacant lands" (Azevedo-Ramos et al. 2020; Carrero et al. 2022; Sparovek et al. 2019). The recategorization and reduction of protected areas is an additional threat (e.g., Koga et al. 2022). The dysfunctionality of environmental, indigenous and land policies is leading to destruction of the Amazon forest, which is home to both tremendous biodiversity and hundreds of indigenous ethnic groups and traditional communities such as rubber tappers, fishers and quilombolas (descendants of Africans who escaped slavery and founded their own settlements). Indigenous areas are especially vulnerable to the advances of agribusiness, which is implanting production chains with high potential to impact on both the environment and the collective health of these communities (Ferrante et al. 2021).

Amazonia and indigenous lands were not mentioned in either the plan that *Bolsonaro* proposed when running for president in 2018 (*Bolsonaro* 2018a) or in his post-election speech (*Bolsonaro* 2018b). The theme is addressed in his pronouncements at the United Nations, but from a mercantilist logic, extolling the

economic exploitation and abundance of Amazonian mineral wealth and the desire of indigenous peoples to exploit their lands (*Agência Brasil* 2019; *Brazil, PR* 2020; *United Nations* 2021). He equated mineral extraction with progress and dismissed the increase in deforestation and fires in the Amazon as natural. President *Bolsonaro* has violated the Federal Constitution by repeatedly stating that he will not demarcate any indigenous lands.

In February 2022, Decree 10,966 created "artisanal mining" as a category to be "stimulated" in the Amazon region (*Brazil, PR* 2022). The decree also creates the Interministerial Commission for the Development of Artisanal and Small-Scale Mining, which will have no representation of indigenous peoples, traditional communities or social movements. The decree legitimizes illegal mining in the Amazon and favors an important base of electoral support for the President (*Fearnside* 2022a).

Authoritarian norms are adopted with the support of "ruralists" (large landholders and their representatives) as well as mining and other interests (Wanderley et al. 2020). Setbacks in legislation are underway through different bills that promote a deep deterritorialization of the Amazon in favor of mining and agribusiness. The following bills being advanced by President Bolsonaro's supporters in the National Congress are mechanisms of deregulation of environmental policies: (i) Bill 191/2020 allows mining in Indigenous lands and in protected areas for biodiversity (Câmara dos Deputados 2020a; Ferrante and Fearnside 2022a), (ii) Bill 5518/2020 offers public forest concessions to private initiatives (Câmara dos Deputados 2020b), and (iii) Bill 2633/2020 rewards land grabbers by promoting the legalization of illegal land claims (Câmara dos Deputados 2020c; Ferrante and Fearnside 2021). Two old bills returned to the debate due to the current political scenario: (i) Bill 3729/2004 guts the country's environmental licensing system (Câmara dos Deputados 2021; Ruaro et al. 2021, 2022), and (ii) Bill 490/2007 makes it difficult to demarcate indigenous lands (Câmara dos Deputados 2007; ISA 2021). Mining is an activity that promotes severe environmental impacts and deterritorialization in the areas where it is installed. The sector is one of the main beneficiaries of these bills. Brazil's "ruralist" lobby and congressional voting block is trying to repeal the country's ratification of International Labor Organization Convention 169, which requires consultation for projects impacting indigenous peoples (Russau 2021). This would remove a critical impediment to legalizing the wholesale invasion of indigenous areas for mining (in addition to hydroelectric dams, logging, ranching and soy plantations), such as the developments planned under PL191/2020.

According to data from the Brazilian Mining Institute (IBM 2022), in 2021 there was an increase of 7% in mineral production compared to 2020. Exports increased by 58.6% compared to 2020, the gross value of the exports totaling US\$ 58 billion in 2021. Iron ore was responsible for 73.7% of the total value the minerals produced and generated US\$ 44.6 billion in exports. The top three destinations for Brazilian iron ore are: China 68.0%, Malaysia 6.4%, and Japan 3.6%. The state of Pará, in the Brazilian Amazonia, has the largest mineral production in the country, which corresponded to 43% of the sector's total revenue in Brazil. This production is due to Carajás, the largest open-pit iron ore mine on the planet, which had an estimated production of 220 million tons in 2021 (Pinto 2021).

The place of Amazonia must be rethought in both Brazilian and international policies. The exploitation of Amazonia's land and minerals on an industrial scale for export has left the biome, and its neighboring biomes (such as the Cerrado), under threat. The region's biodiversity and the peoples who live there need to be taken into consideration as structuring elements for the sustainability of the biome and the ecosystem services it offers (*Fearnside* 2021).

Agendas must be aligned with international commitments on environmental and climate issues as well as with the protection of indigenous peoples. Priority measures include: (i) budget recovery for IBAMA and FUNAI, (ii) review and consolidation of a land-tenure system and resumption of the processes of demarcation of indigenous lands, and (iii) elaboration of a participatory post-extractivist agenda in which native peoples and traditional communities play a leading role.

Finally, the call for an international boycott of soy and beef (*Ferrante* and *Fearnside* 2022b) should be extended to include Brazil's Amazonian ore. A key question will be restrictions by the European Union (EU). A trade agreement between the EU and MER-COSUL (which includes Brazil, Argentina, Paraguay and Uruguay) has been held up since 2019 due to lack of confidence that environmental criteria will be met

(*Spring* 2022). On 13 September 2022 the European Parliament approved environmental restrictions on imports of 14 types of commodities, and, if ratified by the 27 member states, the restrictions would take effect in 2023 (*Schröder* 2022). Minerals are not included among the restricted commodities.

The 31 October 2022 election of *Luiz Inácio Lula da Silva* as Brazil's President greatly improves the outlook for controlling deforestation. However, both Brazil's even more anti-environmental National Congress to take office in 2023 and *Lula's* own record on a variety of environmental issues, such as Amazonian dams, indicate that a strong set of trade restrictions is needed to support the defense of the Amazon and its people (*Fearnside* 2022b).

Acknowledgements

RMV is supported by the Fundação Carlos Chagas Filho de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio de Janeiro [Edital 05/2020 FAPERJ, Rio de Janeiro]. PMF's research is funded by the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq 312450/2021-4), and the Brazilian Research Network on Climate Change (FINEP/Rede Clima 01.13.0353-00).

References

Agência Brasil 2019: Veja a íntegra do discurso de Bolsonaro na Assembleia Geral da ONU. – Online available at: htt-ps://bit.ly/3rBRniP, accessed 20/01/2022

Azevedo-Ramos, C., P. Moutinho, V.L. Arrud, M.C.C. Stabile, A. Alencar, I. Castro and J.P. Ribeiro 2020: Lawless land in no man's land: The undesignated public forests in the Brazilian Amazon. – Land Use Policy **99**: 104863, doi:10.1016/j. landusepol.2020.104863

Barbosa, L.G., M.A.S. Alves and C.E.V. Grelle 2021: Actions against sustainability: Dismantling of the environmental policies in Brazil. – Land Use Policy **104**: 105384, doi:10.1016/j.landusepol.2021.105384

Bolsonaro, J.M. 2018a: Veja a íntegra do discurso de Jair Bolsonaro em rede nacional após eleito. – Online available at: https://bit.ly/36szlIx, accessed 20/01/2022

Bolsonaro, J.M. 2018b: O caminho da prosperidade. Proposta de plano de governo. – Online available at: https://www.bolsonaro.com.br/, accessed 20/01/2022

Branford, S. 2020: The Amazon's Yanomami utterly abandoned by Brazilian authorities: Report. – Mongabay,19 November 2020. – Online available at: https://bityl.

- co/4WwA, accessed 17/04/2202
- Brazil, INPE (Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais) 2022: MapBiomas 2022. Online available at: https://plataforma.brasil.mapbiomas.org/, accessed 15/03/2022
- Brazil, PR (Presidência da República) 2020: Discurso do Presidente da República, Jair Bolsonaro. Online available at: https://bit.ly/3rBvAaO, accessed 15/01/2022
- Brazil, PR (Presidência da República) 2022: Decreto № 10.966, de 11 de fevereiro de 2022. Diário Oficial da União, 14/02/2022, Edition 31, Section 1, p. 4. Online available at: https://bit.ly/3uW2JAh, accessed 19/04/2022
- *Câmara dos Deputados* 2007: PL490/2007. Online available at: https://bit.ly/3xEwqaJ, accessed 19/04/2022
- *Câmara dos Deputados* 2020a: PL191/2020. Online available at: https://bit.ly/3EsfV20, accessed 19/04/2022
- *Câmara dos Deputados* 2020b: PL1518/2020. Online available at: https://bit.ly/3JVTC6Q, accessed 19/04/2022
- Câmara dos Deputados 2020c: PL2633/2020. Online available at: https://bit.ly/3Ewy53x, accessed 19/04/2022
- Câmara dos Deputados 2021: PL3729/2004. Online available at: https://bit.ly/3uVrKvl, accessed 19/04/2022
- Carrero, G.C., R.T. Walker, C.S. Simmons and P.M. Fearnside 2022: Land grabbing in the Brazilian Amazon: Stealing public land with government approval. Land Use Policy **120**: art. 106133, doi:10.1016/j.landusepol.2022.106133
- Climalnfo 2020: Fumaça das queimadas do Pantanal e da Amazônia chega ao Sul e ao Sudeste. Climalnfo, 14 September 2020. Online available at: https://bit.ly/3j0zoS5, accessed 10/04/2022
- Deutsch, S. 2021: Populist authoritarian neoliberalism in Brazil: Making sense of Bolsonaro's anti-environment agenda. – Journal of Political Ecology 28: 823-845, doi:10.2458/jpe.2994
- Facchin, P. 2018: Eleições 2018 e a pauta ambiental. Duas propostas totalmente opostas em disputa no 2º turno. Entrevista especial com Lucas Ferrante. Instituto Humanitas Unisinos, 17 October 2018. Online available at: https://bit.ly/36sBlk1, accessed 20/01/2022
- Fearnside, P.M. 2019a: Exploração mineral na Amazônia brasileira: O custo ambiental. pp. 35-42. In: E. Castro and E.D. do Carmo (eds.): Dossiê Desastres e Crimes da Mineração em Barcarena, Mariana e Brumadinho. Editora do Núcleo de Altos Estudos Amazônicos (NAEA), Universidade Federal do Pará (UFPA), Belém, Pará. 256 pp. Online available at: https://bit.ly/3jV6FuE, accessed 19/04/2022
- Fearnside, P.M. 2019b: As Amazon deforestation in Brazil rises, Bolsonaro administration attacks the messenger (commentary). Mongabay, 3 August 2019. Online available at: https://bit.ly/356ur3f, accessed 02/11/2022
- *Fearnside, P.M.* 2021: The intrinsic value of Amazon biodiversity. Biodiversity and Conservation **30**: 1199-1202, doi:10.1007/s10531-021-02133-7

- Fearnside, P.M. 2022a: Brazil's Amazon gold mining to be "stimulated" by Bolsonaro's decree (commentary). Mongabay, 22 February 2022. Online available at: https://bit.ly/3DoB4L6, accessed 19/04/2022
- Fearnside, P.M. 2022b: Os candidatos à Presidência e o meio ambiente na Amazônia. – Agência Bori, 27 September 2022. – Online available at: https://bit.ly/3VFDiOT, accessed 02/11/2022
- Ferrante, L. and P.M. Fearnside 2019: Brazil's new president and 'ruralists' threaten Amazonia's environment, traditional peoples and the global climate. Environmental Conservation 46: 261-263, doi:10.1017/S0376892919000213
- Ferrante, L. and P.M. Fearnside 2020: Military forces and COVID-19 as smokescreens for Amazon destruction and violation of indigenous rights. Die Erde **151** (4): 258-263, doi:10.12854/erde-2020-542
- Ferrante, L. and P.M. Fearnside 2021: Brazil's political upset threatens Amazonia. Science **371**: 898, doi:10.1126/science.abg978
- Ferrante, L. and P.M. Fearnside 2022a: Mining and Brazil's Indigenous peoples. Science 375: 276, doi:10.1126/science.abn6753
- Ferrante, L. and P.M. Fearnside 2022b: Countries should boycott Brazil over export-driven deforestation. Nature **601**: 318, doi:10.1038/d41586-022-00094-7
- Ferrante, L., R.I. Barbosa, L. Duczmal and P.M. Fearnside 2021: Brazil's planned exploitation of Amazonian indigenous lands for commercial agriculture increases risk of new pandemics. Regional Environmental Change 21: art. 81, doi:10.1007/s10113-021-01819-6
- IBM (Instituto Brasileiro de Mineração) 2022: Setor Mineral 2021. – Online available at: https://bit.ly/3JYhA1c, accessed 15/03/2022
- ISA (Instituto Socioambiental) 2021: Bolsonaristas e ruralistas aprovam em comissão maior ameaça a direitos indígenas em décadas. ISA, 23 June 2021. Online available at: https://bit.ly/3LdkWiF, accessed 19/04/2022
- Koga, D.M., I.F. Brown, P.M. Fearnside, D.S. Salisbury and S.S. da Silva 2022: Serra do Divisor National Park: A protected area under threat in the southwestern Brazilian Amazon.
 Environmental Conservation 49: 74-82, doi:10.1017/S0376892922000091
- Pinto, L.F. 2021: Carajás: fim em 50 anos. Amazônia Real.
 Online available at: https://bit.ly/3DWliJ1, accessed 02/11/2022
- Rorato, A.C., M.C.A. Picoli, J.A. Verstegen, G. Camara, F.G.S. Bezerra and M.I.S. Escada 2021: Environmental threats over Amazonian indigenous lands. Land 10: art. 267, doi:10.3390/land10030267
- Ruaro, R., L. Ferrante and P.M. Fearnside 2021: Brazil's doomed environmental licensing. Science 372: 1049-

- 1050, doi:10.1126/science.abj4924
- Ruaro, R., G.H.Z. Alves, L. Tonella, L. Ferrante and P.M. Fearnside 2022: Loosening of environmental licensing threatens Brazilian biodiversity and sustainability. – Die Erde 153 (1): 60-64, doi:10.12854/erde-2021-614
- Russau, C. 2021: Brazilian agricultural lobby calls for the country to withdraw from the ILO-169 Convention for the Protection of the Rights of Indigenous Peoples Countercurrent. World News Today, 19 September 2021. Online available at: https://bit.ly/30mmd8K, accessed 02/11/2022
- Santos, A.A., M. Menezes, A.Z. Leite and S. Sauer 2021: Ameaças, fragilização e desmonte de políticas e instituições indigenistas, quilombolas e ambientais no Brasil. Estudos Sociedade e Agricultura **29** (3): 669-698, doi:10.36920/esa-v29n3-7
- Schröder, A. 2022: European bill passes to ban imports of deforestation-linked commodities. Mongabay, 15 September 2022. Online available at: https://bit.ly/3Wq3NYT, accessed 02/11/2022
- Sonter, L.J., A.D. Herrera, D.J. Barrett, G.L. Galford, C.J. Moran and B.S. Soares-Filho 2017: Mining drives extensive deforestation in the Brazilian Amazon. Nature Communications 8: 1013, doi:10.1038/s41467-017-00557-w
- Sparovek, G., B.P. Reydon, L.F. Guedes Pinto, V. Faria, F.L.M. de

- Freitas, C. Azevedo-Ramos, T. Gardner, C. Hamamura, R. Rajão, F. Cerignoni, G.P. Siqueira, T. Carvalho, A. Alencar and V. Ribeiro 2019: Who owns Brazilian lands? – Land Use Policy **87**: 104062, doi:10.1016/j.landusepol.2019.104062
- Spring, J. 2022: EU-Mercosur trade deal to clear environmental hurdles this year, EU commissioner says.

 Reuters, 2 May 2022. Online available at: https://www.reuters.com/business/environment/eu-mercosurtrade-deal-clear-environmental-hurdles-this-year-eucommissioner-2022-05-02/, accessed 02/11/2022
- United Nations 2021: General Assembly of the United Nations 76th session. Online available at: https://bit.ly/3L0gUtB, accessed 15/01/2022
- Villén-Pérez, S., L.F. Anaya-Valenzuela, D.C. da Cruz and P.M. Fearnside 2022: Mining threatens isolated indigenous peoples in the Brazilian Amazon. Global Environmental Change 72: 102398, doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2021.102398
- Wanderley, L.J., R.J.A.F. Gonçalves and B. Milanez 2020: O interesse é no minério: O neoextrativismo ultraliberal marginal e a ameaça de expansão da fronteira mineral pelo governo Bolsonaro. Revista da ANPEGE **16** (29): 549-593. Online available at: https://bit.ly/3jSUOxe, accessed 17/04/2022